

ATTACHMENT K - REFERENCE QUESTIONNAIRE
ST. LUCIE PUBLIC SCHOOLS
ITB 25-25
WELDING SERVICES

FOR: C2 Welding Inc.
(Name of Vendor Requesting Reference)

This form is being submitted to your Company for completion as a business reference for the company listed above.

This form is to be returned to the School Board of St. Lucie County, Purchasing Department, via email at kimberly.albritton@stlucieschools.org no later than 3:00 p.m., **June 17, 2025**, and **must not** be returned to the company requesting the reference.

For questions or concerns regarding this form, please contact the School Board of St. Lucie County, Purchasing Department, by telephone: (772) 429-3980, or by email at kimberly.albritton@stlucieschools.org. When contacting us, please be sure to include the solicitation number and title listed at the top of this page.

Company Providing Reference Finfrock
Contact Name and Title/Position Steve Clauss - Lead Construction Superintendent
Contact Telephone Number 321-228-4324
Contact Email Address sclauss@finfrock.com

Questions:

1. In what capacity have you worked with this company in the past? If the Company was under a similar contract, please acknowledge and explain briefly whether or not the contract was successful.

Comments:

We worked with C2 Welding on pipe fusing and the welding and fabrication of railings for the FAMU campus project in Tallahassee. They delivered solid quality, stayed on schedule, and kept communication clear every step of the way.

2. How would you rate this Company's knowledge and expertise?

3 (3= Excellent; 2= Satisfactory; 1= Unsatisfactory; 0= Unacceptable)

Comments:

They possess strong knowledge and skills and effectively navigate most challenges with confidence.

3. How would you rate the Company's flexibility relative to changes in the scope and timelines?

3 (3= Excellent; 2= Satisfactory; 1= Unsatisfactory; 0= Unacceptable)

Comments:

They're quick to accommodate changes and work proactively to keep everything on schedule

4. What is your level of satisfaction with hard-copy materials, e.g. quotation, written scopes of work, reports, logs, etc. produced by the Company?

3 (3= Excellent; 2= Satisfactory; 1= Unsatisfactory; 0= Unacceptable)

Comments:

They deliver clear, concise documentation that helps everyone stay aligned and informed

5. How would you rate the dynamics/interaction between the Company and your staff?
3 (3= Excellent; 2= Satisfactory; 1= Unsatisfactory; 0= Unacceptable)

Comments:

The company's team is easy to work with, and our staffs collaborate effectively to keep things on track.

6. Who were the Company's principle representatives involved in providing your service and how would you rate them individually? Would you comment on the skills, knowledge, behaviors or other factors on which you based the rating? (3= Excellent; 2= Satisfactory; 1= Unsatisfactory; 0= Unacceptable)

Name: Austin Cole Rating: 3

Name: Jeremiah Cole Rating: 3

Name: _____ Rating: _____

Name: _____ Rating: _____

Comments:

The company's main contacts were professional, efficient, and attentive. Their ability to communicate clearly and manage day-to-day operations effectively made the entire process straightforward and successful.

7. With which aspect(s) of this Company's services are you most satisfied?

Comments:

I'm most satisfied with their communication and responsiveness—they're easy to reach and quick to address any changes or questions

8. With which aspect(s) of this Company's services are you least satisfied?

Comments:

Nothing comes to mind—I've had a positive experience across the board

9. Would you recommend this Company's services to your organization again?

Comments:

Yes—they've been a solid team to work with, and I'd feel confident referring them to others